

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,655	06/24/2003	Shigeki Nakahara	. 1247-0516P	1274	
	7590 04/18/2007 ARTKOLASCH & RIRCE	EXAMINER			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			· NGUYEN, MADELEINE ANH VINH		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/18/2007	FLECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/18/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	•	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Office Action Summary		10/601,6	555	NAKAHARA, SHI	GEKI				
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Madelein	e AV Nguyen	2625					
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communicati	ion appears on th	e cover sheet with th	he correspondence a	ddress				
WHICHEVER IS L  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS (  - If NO period for reply is  - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR ONGER, FROM THE MAIL be available under the provisions of 37 rom the mailing date of this communical specified above, the maximum statutor e set or extended period for reply will, be Office later than three months after the stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no en ation. y period will apply and v by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply to vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
	to communication(s) filed or	n <u>02 February 20</u>	<u>007</u> .						
/ <del>_</del>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
closed in acc	cordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	3								
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.									
	are subject to restriction	and/or election i	requirement.						
Application Papers	•								
9)☐ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Ex	raminer							
•	•		∩ objected to by the	ne Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	drawing sheet(s) including the	=	•	` '	:FR 1 121(d)				
	eclaration is objected to by								
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119								
	nent is made of a claim for f	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
	Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>									
				eived in this National	Stage				
	ation from the International I ed detailed Office action for	•	` ''	alvod					
See the attach	ed detailed Office action to	a list of the cert	med copies not rece	eiveu.					
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References			4) Interview Summ						
	o's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	48)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date			6) Other:	. •					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata (US Patent No. 5,684,864) in view of Ozeki et al (US Patent No. 5,872,641) and Ritzerfeld (US Patent No. 4,129,073).

Concerning claim 15, Shibata discloses a communication apparatus (Figs.3-4) comprising a communication device (16, Fig.3 or 4) for receiving an identification signal from an originating communication apparatus (100), and performing an output operation when the received identification signal from the originating communication apparatus coincides with a pre-stored identification signal (301-303, Fig.5; col. 5, lines 21–42); wherein the output operation includes an operation of repeatedly printing a plurality of copies of an image data signal representing the entire document being received subsequent to the receipt of the identification signal on recording sheets.

Shibata fails to directly teach that the identification signal identifying the originating communication apparatus. However, it was a matter of well known in the prior that in order to initiate a communication between two communication devices, each of the device should give its identification signal identifying the originating and terminating device in order to send and

Art Unit: 2625

receive data information. Ozeki supports that well known in the prior art by teaching a wellknown prior art of sending terminal identification (TSI) message wherein the sending communication device sends to the receiving device to identify the sending device with the terminal device. For each machine, the sending terminal identification is registered at a time of its installation of the device so that every time the sending device requests a communication with a different communication device, it should send the sending terminal identification to the terminating device so that the terminating device recognizes the sending device in order to respond back to the request. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made as a matter of well-known in the art to consider the receiving communication device in Shibata receives an identification signal identifying an originating communication apparatus from the originating communication since Shibata teaches the communication between the originating and the receiving apparatuses wherein the originating specifically sends some command signals such as AT command, ATD command with information relating to the identification, status and the commands of the originating apparatus (col. 1, lines 38-60; col. 5, line 21 – col. 6, line 12).

Shibata teaches the grouping of the plurality of copies but failed to directly teach the grouping of the plurality of copies of the entire document into a plurality of groups. However, it was commonly known in the art that the plurality of copies of the entire document could be grouped into a plurality of different groups. Ritzerfeld supports that well-known in the prior art by disclosing a copy machine (Fig.1) which copies a plurality of copies and groups them into a plurality of groups for different uses or different destinations by adding different pre-printed sheets to distinguish different groups (Abstract; col. 1, lines 9-38-60; col. 2, line 34 - col. 3, line

Application/Control Number: 10/601,655

Art Unit: 2625

28; col. 5, lines 6-68; col. 6, lines 4-13; col. 8, lines 37-62; col. 11, lines 31-56). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Ritzerfeld to the Shibata in order to group the plurality of copies into plurality of groups according to a preset command since Shibata teaches different commands by using ATD command which can be preset by the user for high printing function, and since the printing function is performed on software application, modifications and variations are possible.

Concerning claim 16, Ritzerfeld further teaches a process of bundling each group of copies one by one (col. 9, lines 54 – col. 10, line 44; col. 11, lines 31-56).

#### Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Kochis et al (US Patent No. 5,175,762) discloses a remote and local printing using fax wherein a fax transmitting facility attached to a local computer can be accessed to a printing apparatus locally or remotely.
  - b. Sugawara et al (US Patent No. 6965,459) discloses a communication system between computer and facsimile machine.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2625

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madeleine AV Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-7466. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday 12:30-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

April 11, 2007

AnhuhNguyen

Madeleine AV Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2625